

# lambda

vol. x no. 13

tuesday 7 december 1971

laurentian university, sudbury, ontario-ari-ario

## Humanities dealt severe blow in budget

By Greg Lubimiv

Senate has passed a slightly revised Budget Committee Report, which will have very serious consequences for the Humanities at this University. Murray Hall, the sole student representative, out of three eligible, at the meeting voted in favour of the proposal.

Concerning the Budget Report the Committee had four major proposals. To make recommendation with respect to surplus; to make proposals with respect to winter and off-campus teaching; to make recommendations for the charge-back system which has been understudied; and to make a schedule of block allocations.

The impact on the Humanities from the Budget Report was given as a cut of 6 staff, the taking up of all sabbaticals and study leaves, and the elimination or compression of 23 courses; or as an alternative: education policy cuts, the elimination of graduate work, 2 or 3 staff cuts, and a cut in courses.

The impact on the Natural Sciences was seen as a reduction of 3 positions, a reduction of student assistance, the taking up of 6 sabbaticals without replacements and a 50% cut in expenditures on student travel.

Even with these steps the Sciences will have a deficit of \$6,000. Science says it can't be asked to supply courses at the BIU weight of 1 but should be increased to 2. With this change the revenue would be \$2,210,000 which the sciences could be expected to survive on.

The Nurmi report reduces the Science budget to \$1,278,000 giving the sciences a net decrease of \$65,000 over each of the next 4 years. Since no further reduction in the Science budget is possible the present budget cannot be changed.

The only changes to the Departments and Schools was the reallocation of the bilingual grant.

Laurentian has been given a \$1,000,000 emergent grant but the conditions of the grant are not fully known. John White, Minister of University Affairs, said the Treasury Board has agreed to put Laurentian on emergent grant this year and next year but next year's will have strings attached. Mr. White refused to clarify his statement over the phone but will do so in a letter Laurentian is expecting. Dr. Albert

stated, "He did not specify whether these were strings or cables attached."

This year the head of divisions decided that for purposes of the calculation of their submissions to the Budget Committee a 9% staff salary increase would be employed but in the Budget Report this increase was not considered. The total revenue available is \$8,940,000.

Budget Committee Chairman G. J. Clarke made a motion that 1971-72 savings be accredited to the 1972-73 budget to be disposed of at the discretion of the divisions where the savings were made. The explanation for this motion was that it was an attempt to create an incentive for the Departments to try and save money, so that in later years it could be of service if a budget strain resulted. However Professor Clarke also stated that if a savings did result the Committee drawing up next year's budget would take it into account. The motion was voted upon but a second vote was needed since it ended up in a draw. The second vote passed the motion 10-6-2.

In the discussion it was explained how the revenue analysis presented to Senate was made. The School of Nursing was used as an example. The weight of the School of Nursing is 2 BIU's. A student who takes a course offered by biology which would be one fifth of a program would carry one fifth times two BIU's. However this is not the basis used. Instead one fifth times one BIU goes to the Sciences and the other half goes to the School of Nursing. It was asked whether students taking extra courses would then distort figures but the answer was that extra courses weren't taken into account but the difference would be very small since the number of extra courses being given are small in number.

It was found that in giving its Bilingual Grant the Government gave Laurentian \$540,000 instead of \$450,000. 55% of the extra \$90,000 was distributed among the different departments.

In their discussion members showed their concern for a drop in the quality of their courses, their feelings were summed up in Professor Wallace's comment: "...is the virginity of the schools going to be violated."

With most of the arguments given the vote was taken, 13-6-1 was the result and the report passed.



Students discuss the Senate elections at Polling place in the 'bowling alley'. Turnout was a surprising 35%.

## Senate positions filled

The students of Laurentian University now have five representatives on Senate.

Denis Lapointe and Ike Lindenburg were declared elected to Senate after a recount of the results of what was a very close race.

As well students voted two to

one to keep the Pub area open as a lounge during the day.

Interest in the election was high as twelve candidates were nominated and 34.8% (719) of the student body voted.

The original results were very close with four votes separating the top three candidates. This prompted Chief Returning Officer,

Peter Moore, to hold a recount. The recount did not change the winners though the results were changed slightly.

The final results gave Denis Lapointe 168 votes and Ike Lindenburg 166. Therese Boutin was third with 161 votes.

The students voted 485 to 227 to keep the pub area open.



Peter Moore, Chief Returning Officer conducts the official recount which redistributed votes, but didn't change outcome.

## Dog invites man for drink

ROSLYN HEIGHTS, N.Y. (UPI) - Charges have been dropped against a man who claimed he entered a house at the invitation of the family dog.

Samuel Eastman told Nassau County police that when he and his family returned from a night out June 4, he found a stranger inside having a drink and talking to Eastman's collie. The stranger told him, "I'm having a drink with the dog and a very pleasant conversation."

Asked by Eastman how he got inside, the man replied, "The dog invited me in and asked me to join him in a drink. So I poured

a scotch for him and one for myself."

Eastman called police and the stranger, identified as Douglas Cameron, 29, a market researcher from Andover Mass., was charged with first-degree burglary.

A grand jury refused Tuesday to return an indictment against Cameron.

Mrs. Eastman, for one, was delighted with the verdict: "I thought I was the only one who drank scotch and had conversation with Frisky the collie. I'm so happy there is someone else who can share those pleasures with our dog."



# University cries alot about money in report to CUA

By Greg Lablmiiv

Laurentian University had a surprising decrease in enrolment this year. An attempt to explain this was part of the University's presentation to the Committee on University Affairs, on December 6.

Some of the reasons given were that the student: went to another university, retained a summer job, went travelling, went to a community college, or went to Teachers' College.

These reasons were the result of two studies initiated to discover some reason for the decline in enrolment.

The first study was conducted by interviewing students who have not returned to University this year, and students who had showed an interest in coming to Laurentian but did not register.

The second study proposed to discover from the students on campus why they came to Laurentian, what their feelings are about it, etc..

The presentation included expectations of an increase of students in 1974-75 due to the increased francophone enrolment. That is expected because at that time the students from bilingual secondary schools of Sudbury and Northern Ontario will be graduating and the new entrance regulations to our bilingual Teachers' College will be in force that year.

It was discovered that the present increase in professional programs seems to indicate a shift of interest into areas linked with a field of work. Present Government formula however makes it necessary to limit enrolment in some areas, especially Social Work. This could be offset by revision of the weighting of BIU's, (Basic Income Units) in that area.

that area.

The Social Sciences were found to be retaining interests of a great proportion of students, but the presentation to the Committee stated that young people are beginning to see that human problems could be alleviated to some extent by the more educated use of our natural resources. This was reflected by a new interest in all the sciences, especially the Earth and Biological sciences.

The School of Social Work has been running into financial difficulty since its present BIU rating only covers half the cost of the program. The School has a lack of the full range of professionally staffed services and this necessitates field visits to Southern and Eastern Ontario for several days each term in the upper year classes. This year the School has a deficit of \$189,330.

Laurentian proposes extra formula support in 72-73 to the amount of \$200,000 by making the formula weight of Social Work students equal to 2 instead of 1.

Laurentian has also been seeking extra financial aid to the School of Translators and Interpreters. Though the School was only established in 1967 it is one of the four Schools of Translators selected by Secretariate of State to train translators for the Federal Bureau of Translators.

One of the problems in the School is that the Franco-Ontarians are at certain disadvantage as compared with Quebecois, in practice and knowledge of French. This is why it is felt a special programme in Français at Laurentian is desirable. The School is also in need of professional Translation and Interpretation Laboratories. The standard language labs existing do not meet requirements of the students in the School. The cost of such equipment would be \$50,000 plus personnel cost of \$10,000.

The Honorable William G. Davis was contacted on May 1, 1970 and asked for special funds but no reaction was given. Laurentian also recommended to the Committee of University Affairs and the Department of Colleges and Universities that Laurentian be granted additional bilingual grants to promote bilingualism in Northern Ontario. The schedule given to the CUA proposed a \$500,00 grant till 1974-75 decreasing to \$100,000 in 1979-80. As well a special grant of \$150,000 was requested for the School of Translators and Interpreters in 1973-74.

It was noted that French-Canadians make up 32.5% of the total

population of Northern Ontario. Even so only two institutions offer post-secondary education to Francophones. These are Laurentian and Le Collège de Hearst, a Laurentian affiliate.

Laurentian is confident that it can do much more to promote bilingualism in its programmes and in its efforts. \$38,000 extra was made available to the University for 1971-72. With the increase foreseen in 1974-75 it is hoped that Francophones wishing to enter Teachers' College will complete at least one year at University. If this prediction is true it gives Laurentian only two years in order to plan its course offerings to meet the proposed enrolment. At present the net cost of bilingualism in the University is \$468,652. In its presentation Laurentian recommends to the CUA and the DCU that our University receive a 100% operation grant for courses taught in French.

It was claimed in the report that in the Sciences the large first year classes subsidize the small third and fourth year classes. It is also seen that whereas as much as 90% of the expenditures required for mounting science courses were attributed to the undergraduate activities, more than 35% of the income produced by science students was at the graduate level. This concludes that the graduate programs are subsidizing the undergraduate science courses. The reasons for this unbalance is that there are relatively small numbers in high costing third and fourth year courses. This has led to speculations that honours science students may have to complete their fourth year at another university.

Projection shows an increase of Graduate Enrolment in the next five years. At present the economic situation is forcing the majority of students to seek employment as soon as their undergraduate studies are ended, meaning that further studies will have to be

carried on a part-time basis. Since these students must reside where their job is located it is possible that part-time students will make up the majority of graduate students in some areas. Because the same admission regulations and academic regulations apply to both part-time and full-time students and the appointment of part-time faculty members is the same, all students are free to follow any course irrespective of time offered. This leads to some interesting financial results. Last year the overload for payments for part-time

courses were for 75 courses in the evening. This year however, with a greater integration of part-time and full-time students 117 courses are offered for part-time students but the overload payment is only for 30 courses.

One of the great problems of Laurentian is the housing crisis. Because building costs 25% more in Sudbury than in Southern Ontario capital entitlement is almost nil until 1976-77, considering the revised enrolment projection.

However it is believed that existing beds on campus, plus units planned through Ontario Student Housing Corporation will satisfy all demands until 1976-77. The single and married student housing requirements equal 1,161 beds. However there are only 1,021 beds available. It was suggested by the University that the OSHC expand their planned building by 140 beds.

Failure in providing adequate housing at present has caused a drop in enrolment resulting in Laurentian's pleading for 1,000 additional housing units on campus by 1974-75, to supplement the 646 existing beds.

Public transportation has also aggravated the housing crisis. The privately owned bus system has failed to maintain a realistic schedule related to class hours, "and this performance has in most cases been physically extended to the antiquated vehicles assigned to this run." However in January a public bus system will replace the private system.

The failure to provide adequate on-campus housing will discourage potential out of town students from attending this institution and stunt the normal development of the graduates and honours program and the physical development of Laurentian University.

This year Laurentian has introduced a number of policy changes in its Admissions process. These are that: mature students will now be at the age of 21, instead of 23; candidates from CEGEP's (Quebec's community colleges) are eligible for admission after unsuccessful completion of the College's first year. After 2 years he is eligible to apply for advanced standing; candidates from a three year programme at a British Teachers' College or holders of Baccalaureat en Pedagogie (Quebec) are admissible to a degree programme; and Senate has adopted proposals submitted by the Admissions Committee regarding holders of Indian and Pakistani degrees which will make their admission easier.

## Indian Act should be kept

By Peter Moore.

The Indian Act should be retained for the protection of Indian Reserve lands, and whatever changes might be forthcoming for the Act need only be slight modifications, Norman Lickers of Association of Iroquois and Allied Indians told the Indian Affairs Branch recently.

Alarmed by the news that Jeannette Lavell has retained her Indian status in contravention of Section 12 of the present Act, the Iroquois Association and other Indian federations saw the decision as a possible first step in the abolition of the Indian Act and the implementation of the White Paper on Indian Affairs Policy of 1969.

It was decided by the federation to incorporate its collective opinion on the Lavell case in its sixty-six page reply to the White Paper, the third such reply since the Department's publication.

Briefly, the Iroquois position is this: 1) that the Indian Act itself is not at fault with regard to whatever problems the Indian population has, but rather administrators of the legislation have been less than adequate; and 2) that education systems in which Indian children are taught should be on or close to reserves, that the curricula reflect Indian values where possible, and that Indian parents should be involved in choosing teaching staff.


Regarding the Lavell case and its repercussions, Nina Burnham, also a member of the Association, stated that the decision by the Court of Appeals poses more problems for the children of such marriages (Indians to non-Indians) than it solves. The Act, according to the Association, should be revised so that individual band councils can decide their own membership, an important point to consider in that Indian customs among different cultural groups often had radically different ideas on the status of Indian/non-Indian marriages.

Thunder Bay area Ojibwas, for example, according to one archeologist, created two totally new clans for non-Indian males who married Indian females, and their children were invested with these clan titles; this in itself was against legislation which occurred later.

The Association also wants to see payments to those who voluntarily give up their Indian status taken out of federal funds, not capital funds of individual bands, which, Miss Burnham stated, "should be kept intact for posterity". Presently, anyone who chooses to enfranchise is entitled to receive "one per capita share of the capital and revenue moneys" held for the band, and what his share would have been for the next twenty years (15, 1a and b), and that lands to which he held title, cease to be reserve lands (110,3). Earlier franchisees under present legislation have dealt crippling blows to band funds, and children of enfranchised adults have been, in Miss Burnham's words, "made to suffer by parents becoming enfranchised". "In these cases, it can truthfully be said that their inheritance was sold for a 'mess of pottage'" (presumably referring to cases in which capital funds proved to be, according to treaty wording, little more than token payments.

Indian Affairs Minister Jean Chretien was present at the meeting, and concurred with the Association's mood of disquiet over the Lavell case, stating further that he hoped that the decision would be appealed. He added that the Department would be willing to help any group that wished to launch an appeal.

"Perhaps this should be corrected and provision made for such children so enfranchised to be allowed to apply for reinstatement into the membership of the band, subject to band council approval."



John Vallquette (17) will not play with the Vees any more this season. He suffered a broken leg in the game Vees lost to Toronto 6-0.



# History class making study

The Historical Methodology class and Professor G. Steller are in the midst of conducting a project to study the social development of the Flour Mill and Donovan areas from 1911 until the present.

The study is to be done following each decade. Assessment roles will be used for the majority of the information. The information will then be coded and fed into the computer.

There are four main aims of the study. The first classification is that of Ethnicity. Thus far the study has determined that the Flour Mill area is mainly French, and the Donovan area mainly Slavic.

The second classification is that of Class Structure. The study has proved that the district had a normal class breakdown up until 1941. After 1941 the Flour Mill district became primarily a working class district.

The third classification is that of Transiency. The study has thus far determined that 25% of the people born in these areas, stay here. This is an abnormally high figure and more investigation will be done with regards to the transient population. The study is trying to find out where the people move to, and what kind of status they obtain


after the move.

The fourth classification of the study is that of the increase in housing density. In effect the Flour Mill area and the Donovan area could turn into a ghetto without help from the city. The class will study this aspect of the area with regards to the increase in housing. It seems that the population has increased greatly without a marked increase in the number of houses.

The project will be, in effect, the whole course for the fourth year honours methodology class. The study will become more detailed and will be carried on from now until the spring, at which time the results will be coded.

The project is unique in the area of History Methodology. The fact that the normal sources of data are not being used, and that each student is required to handle two or three streets in the area, makes the approach one that is seldom used.

The study was augmented last year with some information being gathered and it will continue to the end of this year. It involves not only the History class, but also some Geography students and some Political Science students as well.



## Robert Brown

Jewellers Limited

SINCE 1934

SUDBURY'S LARGEST CAMERA CENTRE

62 Cedar St.

674-1971

## LA BOUTE DE

An SGA run store has much to offer

CIGARETTES 51c  
DRY CLEANING 15 o/o OFF  
RECORDS: REG. \$5.29 \$3.79  
PATENT DRUGS  
CRESTED JACKETS  
FOODSTUFFS  
MAGAZINES

"Try us out" — L218  
The Parker Bldg.  
"You'll be pleased."

Courtesy of  
National Grocers Ltd.  
1160 Lorne St., Sudbury

The SGA has finally acquired special bulletin boards for informing the students of all activities on campus. The boards are located in the Arts, Science, and Physical Education buildings. The one in the Arts building is located by the stairs to the lower cafeteria.

The SGA purchased these to help students find out about the various activities and organizations which will be holding meetings or have announcements of social functions that will take place around the campus.

This will hopefully alleviate the problems caused by numerous signs and posters, which are placed in

**From McMaster**

the halls to remind people of events. These posters have not been taken down by most groups which sponsor the events and problems are then created.

The cost is approximately \$1,200. The plans were originally made a few years ago, but due to various hassles, their implementation was delayed. One problem was with the Administration and their sharing of the costs. Another was whether or not the SGA could afford to purchase the boards on their own in consideration of the financial position they were in. Finally, the SGA purchased the boards on their own.

The announcements will be posted on a week to week basis, on Mondays.

Any organization or club wishing to post an announcement on the board can do so by sending their announcement to the SGA office. The announcements will be placed on the board free of charge. However the use of these boards will be restricted to only events and social functions. Students or any others who would wish to use this board for political purposes will be prohibited from doing so, for reasons that are obvious.

The board is definitely an advantage over the method of sticking up posters all over the walls as the announcements can be read clearly and the boards are strategically located so that people will notice them.

## New president for Toronto

TORONTO (CUP) -- Dr. John Evans, 42-year old Dean of Medicine at McMaster University, has been appointed president of the University of Toronto effective July 1, 1972.

The announcement, leaked in advance to the Toronto Star, was made at a press conference Tuesday, November 23 at six o'clock.

Evans was chosen by a 14 member search committee composed of two undergrads, one grad student, three faculty, two administrators, two alumni, three members of the

Board of Governors, and the chancellor. The committee began deliberations last February, and submitted Evans' name to the Board of Governors about two months ago.

There was opposition to Evans' selection among certain faculty members of the presidential search committee, according to sources close to the committee.

These members had reservations about Evans because of his inexperience in dealing with general university matters, according to the sources.

Commenting on the long delay between the time the search committee first considered Evans and the announcement of his appointment, Board of Governors chairman William Harris said: "A person doesn't rush into a job at U of T."

Evans seemed completely lost when asked to comment about major problems facing U. of T. He had nothing to say about university expansion or parity, both major issues confronting U. of T. in the past and bound to resurface during his tenure.

Evans said an attempt "to get some sense of priorities" would be his major preoccupation in preparing for his new job. "It will require a good deal of study," he said.

Evans waffled when asked what his position was on giving Canadians

preferential treatment in university appointments. He said every possible opportunity should be given to Canadians, but voiced opposition to any rules which would exclude non-Canadians. "I don't think there should be any rigid barriers against anyone," he said.

Evans did concede however, that preference should be given to "qualified" people, including those versed in Canadian culture.

The youngest president to assume office at U. of T., Evans returns to his alma mater from a triumphant six years building up McMaster University's Division of Health Sciences.

Evans has been described by faculty and students on the search committee as a liberal who is favourable to students. However a former colleague has labelled him a "tough" administrator with "just the right amount of stubbornness and a touch of rigidity."

His administrative experience is quite short though, giving rise to fears by some Simcoe Hall personnel that he will not be able to handle the complex problems that beset U. of T.

Evans fulfills an unwritten rule of U. of T. presidents: he is a graduate who did his initial work as an assistant professor here, before being shipped off to the "colonies" for administrative experience.

## The Challenge

Blessed is the man, indeed,  
Who in this life can find:  
A PURPOSE that can fill his days,  
And GOALS to fill his mind!

The world is filled with little men,  
Content with where they are;  
Not knowing joys success can bring,  
No WILL to go that far!

Yet, in this world, there is a need,  
For men to lead the rest,  
To rise above the "average" life,  
By giving of their best!

COMPLIMENTS  
OF THE



Would you be one who dares to try,  
When challenged by the task;  
To rise to heights you've never seen,  
Or is that too much to ask?

This is your day — a world to win,  
Great purpose to achieve;  
Accept the challenge of your goals  
And in yourself, BELIEVE!

You will be proud of what you've done,  
When at the close of day;  
You look back on your battles, won,  
Content, you came this way!

LABERGE CASH & CARRY  
SHOPPING COMPLEX

Dell at Morin St.,  
675-8331



# lambda

**Editor-in-chief Noel Beach**

**Business Manager Ken Peake**

LAURENTIAN UNIVERSITY, SUDBURY, ONTARIO  
MEMBER - CANADIAN UNIVERSITY PRESS

Lambda is the official student newspaper of Laurentian University. It is published Tuesday weekly mid September to mid March by Lambda Publications, an independent association of the students of Laurentian University.

All opinions are those of the editorial staff unless otherwise stated.

Letters to the editors must be typed and cannot be printed unless signed with address and telephone number. Pen names will be accepted only if just cause can be shown.

Advertising is accepted by the Central Ad Bureau, Room L-219, R.D. Parker Bldg., Laurentian University or Youthstream, 307 Davenport Road, Toronto 180, Ontario.

The Lambda office is Room L-222, R.D. Parker Bldg. Phone switchboard 675-1151, ext. 267 or directly 675-8613.

News Editor: Richard Woodley

Sports Editor: Bob Steklara

Fine Arts Editor: Shannon McMullan

Photo Services: Doug Laycock

CAB Director: Frank Reynolds

this week: 2nd last issue before christmas. here were noel, looking for his wheel, margaret and monica on the machines; a new girl, roberta, doing 'do it'; greg who didn't become a senator; bob, wondering what the hell happened in toronto and london, ken, bill, brian and rick in the dark; gerry 'archie' pawson wasn't here, neither was susan; richard wordley was, and he's finally staying at the fifth column; john wasn't here and he had all the stuff for brain drain, somebody actually came in and used our alternate library, and we have two applications for chief librarian and cataloguer-keep those cards and letters coming in. now we know why jack raffis left his campaign signs up after the provincial, maybe now that the municipal is over they'll come down-what we are wondering about is what happens to his "family and job that he unfortunately has". this issue goes out to, but is not dedicated to the high school kids from manitoulin and elliot lake who came here and wandered around like a bunch of lost sheep (through no fault of their own) and mostly fell for the line of crap from the ivory tower. this issue is dedicated to pam, heather and craig from manitoulin who came into our office and talked to us and came to listen to our ravings in the studio and the pub. All in all i'd say we have had quite a week. watch for something important next week.

## Leditors

### more abortion

Dear Women's Lib. Supporters:

Keep up the good propaganda work; it's getting somewhere! Even little snot-nosed kids are able to discuss abortion glibly and coolly.

That bit about women being mistress of their own bodies; great! Let's keep on ignoring that umbilical cord. The foetus is obviously like an unwelcome tumour, part of the female flesh and not a separate life dependent on the maternal host.

By all means let's not get our sex lives all loused up with contraceptives. Who really knows what the Pill does to a woman; I understand it actually reduces the libido of some. Unspeakable! The diaphragm takes all the joy and spontaneity out of a couple's love life. Mustn't lower the quality of life. Contraceptives are out, so let's hammer home the benefits of abortion. A teeny little free-on-demand abortion never hurt anyone.

Quality of life: there's another useful argument for abortion. Everyone knows that there are too many people around, especially in Ontario. What kind of life would yet another little bastard have? So what if a childless couple in Ontario has to fulfill stringent qualifications and be put on waiting lists in order to adopt an infant because of a shortage? Hardly anyone knows about it so let's ignore it and emphasize the quality of life argument.

And don't forget to push the nine months lost from the woman's life argument. That can hardly be called liberation, especially when the girl has to endure stone-throwing and the branding of a nasty letter on her bosom by righteous members of the community, while the male involved enjoys perfect freedom.

Keep up the attack on those bigoted doctors and nurses, who object to placing formerly perfect and sometimes still living foetuses in

disposal bags. Sentimentalists, talking about perfect fingers and toes; they don't belong in medicine. Everyone knows the human value of we Women's Libbers far outweighs the value of the foetus on whose humanity no-one can agree. It is our freedom which counts.

Joan P.

### disgust

Dear Sir:

I am writing this letter in order to get rid of my disgust with Senate and express my contempt of the Budget Committee. What they have done is criminal. In a single blow they have destroyed Humanities.

Next year Humanities will have to fire up to ten professors! Next year there will no longer be a Classics Department! Next year the English Department will have to abandon its graduate program which it had been authorized to give - It would have been the only Arts program offering an MA.

In fact next year the English Department will have to fire four or five of their professors destroying the best and most popular group of professors they have ever had.

And why are Humanities getting kicked in the teeth so hard. Because their BU's are down from last year. Because they had a deficit of two hundred thousand dollars (\$200,000). Yet Science - Science which under the new budget have only to fire up to three professors - had a deficit of one million two hundred thousand dollars. A deficit one million dollars greater than Humanities. A deficit six times as great as Humanities.

These figures are not lies. These figures are not twisted. They are the truth and how the budget committee managed to twist them around to say that Humanities were to blame for Laurentian's debt is beyond comprehension.

Yours truly,  
Brian Wallace.

### application

Dear Sir:

In your Lambda, Tuesday, November 30, 1971, under the story "Alternate Library", page four, you stated that you were in the process of finding a suitable Chief Librarian and Cataloguer.

Please consider this as an application for both positions.

At present I am unsatisfactorily employed in a library and have no opportunities for gold-bricking. I have had 6 years experience and am familiar with catalogue and filing techniques.

I am willing to work for my coffee (cofy) since a monetary remuneration is not as gratifying to the sole as achieving perfection in a chosen field (gold-bricking).

An answer concerning your decision would be greatly appreciated.

Atuah Kefr, B.G.-B.

(Bachelor of Gold-bricking).

### aplikashun

dear sir,

after reading yur storie in thu lambda i dun tuk thu oportunitie ov chekin out yur famus libery. i admit holeharterdille that yu do hav a fin colecshun.

wud yu ples accept mi aplikashun fur thu job ov cheef librian an cataloger as statud in yur nuspeper. comik buks has been mi hole lif fur neerlie 30 years an i has qwite a substanshal colecshun ov mi own. i beleev that i has al thu nesesarie kwalificashuns and wood be mostest grateful if yu wood giv sereus considerashun t mi aplikashun.

thankin yu in advans an whishin yu an yur staf a mary chrismus i remane

yurs trulle  
shirl peterson  
B. of B.S.

## War ecology in Vietnam

By Volker Thomsen

ST. LOUIS (CUP-CPS) -- "It is immoral for the American people to be concerned solely with the US environment when we are paying for the deliberate destruction of Indochina," stated Dr. E.W. Pfeiffer, associate professor of zoology at the University of Montana, in a recent speech in St. Louis.

Pfeiffer, who has made four trips to South-east Asia to investigate the ecological effects of the war in Indochina, discussed the three major weapons of ecological destruction: herbicidal chemicals, land clearance, and bombing.

The crop destruction program has destroyed enough rice to feed 600,000 people for a year and defoliation has wiped out about 30 to 40 percent of the total forest of Indochina, he said. Although the use of herbicidal chemicals has now been stopped, Pfeiffer noted that nobody is really sure what the end effects of such massive defoliation will be. In a report on his first trip, published in "Science" Magazine (May 1, 1970), he concluded that "the ecological consequences of defoliation are severe."

Pfeiffer, who was last in Vietnam in August when he and Dr. Arthur Westing of Windham College were combat reporters for "Environment" magazine, explained that the Air Force preferred to wait, in its crop destruction program, until the crops were almost ready for harvest -- after the farmers had put much time into them. This was most frustrating to the farmers and also it was usually the case that the NLF was not hurt.

Forests that have been defoliated are taken over by bamboo and other weeds. Shrapnel and bullets add to this destruction by causing fungal infection in the trees -- a phenomenon peculiar to tropical trees. This causes the death of the tree in about a year or so. Pfeiffer also noted that mangrove trees were at one time used for charcoal fuel in Indochina. These were a renewable resource through the planting

of saplings. However, most of these trees have been destroyed by defoliation and "now the US has them hooked on kerosene fuel, a non-renewable resource". The many acres of hardwood timber in Indochina have been virtually destroyed and the lumber industry has just about been knocked out, said Pfeiffer.

The increase in malformed children has also been attributed to the use of herbicidal chemicals. Most commonly sprayed are 2, 4-D and 2,4,5-T. When samples of these chemicals were injected into chickens, deformation of the embryo resulted. Pfeiffer noted that the chemicals would easily work their way into the drinking water and that the main staple foods, rice, fruit, and fish, are very susceptible to herbicides.

As a result of the recent invasion of Cambodia, 30 percent of that country's rubber trees were destroyed by defoliation. This was their main source of international exchange, Pfeiffer said that he had been informed by reliable sources through Senator Church (D-Idaho), that Air America, of the CIA, was responsible for the defoliation in Cambodia.

The use of herbicidal chemicals has, however, been largely abandoned in favour of land clearance with 25-ton caterpillar tractors. There are presently five companies of land clearing troops with about 30 plows each, Pfeiffer said. The Department of Defence estimates that, so far, 750,000 acres of land, mostly forest, have been cleared this way.

Pfeiffer said that one company spent 26 days clearing 6,000 acres of forest. "The vehicles seem to chew the vegetation into dirt," he explained. All plowed areas grow into elephant grass -- "the most ubiquitous weed in Vietnam." He concluded that, though land clearance has not destroyed nearly as much forest as defoliation, its ecological impact may be even greater.

To date, there have been 20 tons of bombs dropped per acre in Indochina, creating a minimum of 10 million craters which are permanent pock marks

on the landscape. These craters won't recover or fill-in naturally and are also a breeding place for mosquitos. This last fact, Pfeiffer suggested, may be connected with the recent rise of malaria in Indochina.

Pfeiffer also explained that people won't go back to these "crater regions" because of the large number of "duds", or undetonated bombs. The Department of Defense estimates that there are 200,000 "duds" lying in the fields. There have been incidents of such bombs being touched off by plows. Farmers are therefore understandably reluctant to go back to farm fields that have been hit.

The newly developed "Daisy Cutter" bomb is a 7.5 ton weapon whose purpose is to "make instant helicopter landing sites in the jungle," Pfeiffer stated. These bombs, which are used at a rate of about two or three a week, create an area about the size of a football field and kill everything within a three-quarter mile radius. These bombs are sometimes used against enemy raids, by causing landslides. The "Daisy Cutter" has such adverse effects, according to Pfeiffer, that the Air Force refuses to release information concerning its physical characteristics.

The main reason that people move to refugee camps of cities is that they cannot stand the bombings. Pfeiffer said he heard this time and time again. He suggested that this may not be accidental, that is, the US is deliberately trying to drive people into cities, through bombing, where they can be more easily controlled. The population of Saigon has risen from 500,000 to 3 million in ten years. "With the people out of the countryside, the guerillas no longer have their basis of support and action -- that is, the US may have stumbled onto the solution to guerilla warfare."

Pfeiffer concluded that "the people of Indochina are subsistence farmers, or were -- we are urbanizing them at a fantastic rate."



# Chicken Feet


By John Skawski

You learned that holding on to a single belief, is a necrophilistic glory, that to be more open minded, more open ended and more generally intended is to be the nucleus of life. You know that competition is a major block to information and humanistic reality. Your essence is the physical story of a Homo Sapiens creed - thus making you capable of untrue speech, of untrue thoughts, of untrue movements, and true feelings and the pedestal of untruths. You think the unthinkable, therefore always remain crippled to unmastered dreams. You write the unwritten, therefore always remain unshaped to a patient language. Your feelings of self-love are highly severe limits, to man's communication to women, man's communication to man, woman's communication to woman and to critical abstractions of simplicity.

You believe that your corporal structure should be understood as a sensuous wild heritage, rather than as an event below the level of awareness. The breath of melancholia is the age of culture, allowing inadequate languages; to speak, inadequate descriptions; to describe and you to symbolically articulate ignorance. You have, ignored pseudo needs, pseudo purposes, and pseudo discussions of social intimacies; therefore withstand within, between, without mental pressures and furnish your own euphorias. You know, that in the care of society, you become a mentally unhealthy trend, dangerous to the unacquainted and fearless only of the artificial. Your endless inquiry is a spontaneous respect for nature, extracted from the study that, "all males are mumbling ineffectual chauvinistic ceremonies of their birthright and all females are deaf omnipotent feminist ceremonies of their birthright."

You behold, what you must do; what you must not do, but rarely see that change needs no advising secrets and often no taboo of timing. Your mentally paralyzed small groups are degenerated failures of human growth, insane abuses of responsibility and a horrible advancement of xenophobia. You comfort the joy of freedom thus explaining why humans do not belong with each other. You believe that man subtly destroyed man as he gradually no longer expressed his emotions with the pleasure of sound. You know, love is a civil masquerade, for a sterile home, a styled conformity and a desertion from true naturalism. You conform, the esteemed behaviour of universal people, that all normal acts are selfless, all normal acts are wordless and all normal acts are a sharing.

So! Shall it not be now? Time is waiting. Yesterdays are too late and tomorrows are too early. Both of you enjoy being yet both of you feign indifference. Meet, talk, touch, get to know the scratchy feet outside you through clouded propositions and naive disillusion.



By Maw and Paw

## Beefs:

It appears to be in "vogue" at present for everyone and anyone to voice their opinions on the current University financial crisis. We figured it was 'high' time Maw and Paw got their "two cents' worth in".

Any major corporation, faced with financial difficulties immediately cuts back on personnel (Inco is an example). Laurentian is not a major corporation, but it has enough staff to look like one. If you add up the number of clerical and library personnel, along with security guards and maintenance people, you would find that the University employs about 250 people or approximately one for every ten students.

While we're on the subject of cut-backs in staff, we can't overlook the overabundance of faculty. We would not bring up this point if teaching duties were equally distributed and all faculty members carried their weight. For instance, we cannot see the necessity of a class with only one student in it when classes exist with 150 or 200 students in them. Although the one-to-one situation in a classroom is an ideal state, under our present budget situation, this is just not feasible. With the present tenure situation, there are cases within this university where faculty members are paid in excess of \$15,000 per annum to teach a half course only!

Another example of the free rein in spending is to be found in the departmental budgets. Towards the end of the fiscal year, if a department finds that they are going to have a surplus of cash, they immediately spend it all, because if they don't, next year's budget for the same department will be smaller. Let's say a department is allotted \$5,000 for one year. At the end of June they find they have \$2,000 left. If they don't use it up, Senate will assume that they only require \$3,000 to operate their department and will receive that amount for

the coming year. If, on the other hand, they follow the common practice of most departments and spend the total \$5,000 or even spend over their budget, Senate will assume that they need the \$5,000 or even more for the coming year. On top of this, all faculty members in the university are allotted a \$300 travel allowance for such things as research or conventions. Isn't it great that so many of these conventions are held in the sunny south? If the same convention was held in Sudbury, we wonder how many professors would attend. \$300 is a great incentive to travel.

Looking at the present financial situation at poverty stricken LU, we find that we are \$1,500,000 in debt. One would wonder where the money is going to come from to pay off this debt. The answer is simple. At the inception of this University, a fund of \$2,000,000 was set aside to be used for the improvement of the University. Using simple mathematics, this means that the debt can be paid and leave the University a mere \$500,000. The startling revelation of this fact is that once this \$500,000 is gone, there is nothing left and Laurentian University will only be a fond memory. Perhaps we should turn the running of the University over to the SGA. They seem to be the only ones on campus who are making a "buck".

## Bouquets:

A large bouquet of snapdragons this week goes to one of the security guards, who in the midst of this financial turbulence, has seen his way clear to donate his entire salary to the University to be used for needy students. If more people who did not need their salaries to live on would follow suit, it might make this world a better place to live in.

## do it do it do it

DO IT is a free service designed to let the university community know of important events during the coming week on campus. Should your group desire to place a "blurb" in this section, submit it either in typed form, or by phone to the Lambda office by the Thursday before Tuesday publication.

### TUESDAY 7

A Lambda staff meeting will be held at 2:00 pm. in room L-222. Any interested people are welcome.

### WEDNESDAY 8

At 7:00 pm. in room 1-207 (that's the student lounge) the SGA Council will hold their meeting.

Two showings of "Baisers volés" (Stolen Kisses) will be presented by the French Film Society. These will be held at 4:00 and 7:00 pm. in the Fraser Auditorium.

For a quarter, enjoy drinking and just sitting around at the Café Robot Pub from 4:00 to midnight.

### THURSDAY 9

At 8:00 pm. the History Society has invited Elmer Sopha to talk about Ontario-Quebec relations, at the U of S Lounge. \$1.00 will give you admission, food and drinks.

The regular Senate meeting will be held at 2:00 pm.

From 4:00 pm. to midnight, the Café Robot Pub will be open. Cover charge is \$.25.

### FRIDAY 10

For a quarter, enjoy drinking and just sitting around at the Café Robot Pub from 4 pm. until midnight.

### SATURDAY 11

"Il ne faut pas mourir pour ça", another in the SGA Film Series will be presented in room C-114 at 6:30 pm. There will be one showing only (French with English sub-titles).

### SUNDAY 12

"Weekend", presented by the LU Film Society, will be showing in the Fraser Auditorium at 8:00 pm.

### TUESDAY 14

A Lambda staff meeting will be held at 2:00 in room L-222. Interested people are welcome.

### WEDNESDAY 15

Café Robot Pub will be open from 4:00 pm. until midnight. Cover charge is \$.25. (Great place to study).

### THURSDAY 16

Café Robot Pub will be open from 4:00 pm. to midnight. Cover charge is \$.25.

### FRIDAY 17

Stompin' Tom Connors will be in concert at 8:30 pm.

Last day of first term classes.



by Ed Reed  
Canadian University Press

As celestial choirs hummed a strain of O Canada and the pulse of Canadian nationalists everywhere raced quicker, the Herb Gray Report burst upon the country's consciousness two weeks ago.

What the report, or at least the pirate version of it that appeared in Canadian Forum Magazine, told us was that unless something is done in a big hurry, Canada runs the risk of becoming nothing more than an economic and cultural satellite of the United States.

This was hardly news to many Canadians who think that this has already happened and have for many years recognized the omnipresence of the American corporate behemoth in every sphere of the Canadian existence.

The Revenue's Minister's report, for all the uproar it has caused is really nothing more than the last gasping attempt of a national bourgeoisie to reassert some measure of control over its own economy. The Gray Report provides no answers, it's a case of far too little much too late.

Still, what is significant about the report to the Cabinet on foreign investment is that it maps the frightening proportions of American economic domination and reveals that the Trudeau government has been forced to develop at least a basic awareness that the problem exists and must somehow be dealt with.

The basic strategy which the Gray Report recommends to deal with the threatened economic and cultural assimilation into the great imperialistic marshmallow to the south, is a screening agency which would review future foreign takeovers and direct investment in Canada. (Direct investment as opposed to portfolio investment is defined as actual, legal control of the assets of a corporation rather than merely possession of share equity.)

Such a body would have the power to block any new foreign economic move which did not conform to government goals regarding Canadian development.

The report also deals with the by-products of foreign investment such as its inhibiting effect on the emergence of a distinctive Canadian cultural identity and the country's forced dependence on foreign-developed technology unsuited to its own national needs.

Another predictable facet of the report is its call for greater support of Canadian industry and its recommendation to home-grown industrialists and investors to be less cautious in the face of industrial expansion than they have been in the past. The report says that a major barrier to the development of an autonomous Canadian economy has been the inability to attract investment from Canadians about investing in their own country.

It now appears that the pirated version of the report which Canadian Forum obtained by as yet undisclosed means, is very close to the document which Gray presented to the Cabinet some time ago—and which was to have remained secret. It appears, too, that the document has been used as a base for formulating government policy on foreign ownership.

Mitchell Sharp, at the time acting prime minister, admitted in the House of Commons, Nov. 16, that the Cabinet has given approval in principle to the screening agency concept.

The problem with such a scheme is, of course, that it is very much like shutting the barn door after the horse has escaped.

The main value in the Gray Report is its extensive documentation of the scope and dimension of foreign ownership that already exists in this country. The report shows, for example, that the assets of foreign-owned firms in Canada amount to at least \$50 billion and that at least 58.1 per cent of all manufacturing industries are

# The Herb Gray a feeble blow aga

foreign-owned—that is a controlling concentration of equity in the firm resides in a nation other than Canada.

As necessary as it is to prevent any further sellout of our industry or resources, the amount of economic and political power that already rests in foreign hands—and those hands by a vast majority are American—will effectively prevent us from ever putting forth any kind of meaningful assertion of our own destiny.

The Trudeau government and the class interests it serves—that is the industrialists and financiers—are not prepared to undertake the kind of drastic structural change in our economic system that would end American economic, cultural and social exploitation of Canada. From the government's point of view its fortunes and those of the class it represents are much too closely interwoven with the continued well-being of the mammoth corporate-industrial empire operating out of the United States.

Since the Trudeau government, and indeed the government of any capitalist country, receives its power and direction from the corporate elite it would have no interest in making any kind of fundamental change in the power relationships. It just couldn't. It would challenge such a basic element of the status quo as existing American domination of the Canadian economy.

It is therefore to be expected that the Canadian economy which the report recommends is ultimately unrealistic. The report is a mere attempt to retard the pace of foreign ownership and domination of our economy.

Selling Gray's report as a kind of economic warning to the home-controlling corporate elite, and how the report is becoming a central reality for members of the government.

The man under whose name this report was presented is rather an anomalous figure to be involved in a study of the danger of foreign ownership.

Herb Gray, the honourable member from Windsor, West, gained something of a reputation in the spring of 1969 as being little short of a front man for one of the largest multinational corporations of them all, Ford Motors.

At that time he played a key role in covering up the Liberal government's questionable decision to forgive the Ford Motor Company of Canada—whose main Canadian branch plant is in Windsor—more than \$75 million in duties it owed resulting from its failure to live up to the terms of the 1965 Canada-U.S. auto pact.

It is not clear at this point exactly what role Gray himself played in the writing of the report which in the Canadian Forum version has been edited to 75,000 words from an original 200,000.

The research team which compiled the report was headed by a young Montreal economist, Joel Bell. The task force drew upon the knowledge of a large number of experts employed in various branches of the federal civil service.

The report, two years in the compiling, has been called the most comprehensive study of foreign ownership and investment ever undertaken in this country.

Some of the research and the conclusion of the report can help us to better understand the nature of our own exploitation. Among the most significant of the observations made:

★ A large amount of Canadian money is being used to finance the sellout of the country's identity and resources. There is a slower influx of American capital than there has been in the past but as the report points out, over 60 per cent of the financing for the expansion of foreign control between 1961 and 1967 came from Canadian sources. And as the report says: "...If new direct investment were to be entirely excluded from Canada, foreign control would continue to grow in absolute terms, due to both the internal generation of finances by the firm and their ability to raise external funds in the Canadian capital market."

★ As a direct consequence of foreign—again chiefly American—involvement in our economy, the growth of Canadian culture in nearly all respects has been stultified. There can be no real reconciliation between large-scale foreign ownership of our means of production and the development of an identifiable national culture.

As the report says: "...the presence of large volumes of foreign investment concentrated in U.S. hands increases the difficulty of developing a distinctive national culture. This has potentially serious implications since the economic and political strength of a country lies largely in the character of a cultural, social and political milieu which favours indigenous initiative and innovation."

"There is no way of leaving the 'economic' area to others, so that we can get on with the political, social and cultural concerns in our own way. There is no such compartmentalization in the real world."

The authors of the report also point out that a sort of vicious circle develops: the less national culture a country has, the greater the danger of foreign economic domination. "The lack of a strong identity and a distinctive culture tend to create a vacuum and a greater receptivity to foreign influence and investment. The ease of importing our culture from the U.K. or the U.S. reinforces this tendency by reducing the pressure on Canadians to develop their own cultural distinctiveness."

The country's reliance on an external technology has retarded the development of national autonomy. The report says: "Some 95 per cent of patents issued in Canada are registered to foreign owners, of which two-thirds are owned by United States residents."

"Another study shows that in a list of 25 countries, Canada is first in percentage of patents which are foreign-owned and last in the percentage of patents owned by nationals of the issuing country."

This indicates that our technology has been moulded to meet the demands of nations other than our own and that if we are to achieve any form of sovereignty we must come to grips with a technology oriented to specific Canadian needs and problems.



# Report: inst the american empire

"If technology is in Canadian hands, the chances are greater that its use will be adapted to the needs of the Canadian milieu" the report concludes.

\* The world's economy is on the verge of being dominated by about 300 multinational enterprises—defined by the report as being "major corporations that spread their activities around the world and treat all countries as their own." Two-thirds of these multinational enterprises are American-controlled.

Some sobering statistics about these corporate monsters whose power rivals that of even the largest nations:

-Eighty per cent of all American direct foreign investment is accounted for by 200 firms.

-Sales of U.S.-owned corporations operating in foreign countries amounts to about \$200 billion a year.

-Multinational corporations are responsible for 15 per cent of the Gross National Product—the value of all goods and services produced—in the non-socialist world.

-This percentage will rise to 50 per cent by 1990 at which time sales of multinational enterprises operating throughout the world will be valued at around \$2,000 billion.

-In the near future it will not be unusual for these giant companies to have over one million employees.

-The book value of American direct investment abroad has increased from about \$7.5 billion in 1929 to \$70.8 billion in 1969 and is still expanding.

-The conclusion of the report is that these multinational corporations through their size and the consequent greater integration of national economies, are gaining more power than most national governments. There will have to be some kind of showdown.

The extent of the control of these multinational corporations in Canada is furthered amplified by these figures:

-In 1968 the assets of firms which were 50 per cent or more non-resident-owned were \$50.7 billion. (It should be remembered that effective control of a corporation can be gained by possession of as little as three per cent of its common stock.)

-As measured by taxable income—usually not the best gauge because of the numerous tax loopholes which corporations can find—64 per cent of the manufacturing industry in Canada is foreign-owned. Ontario tops this industrial sellout parade with 70 per cent foreign ownership of manufacturing firms followed by the Prairies with 61 per cent; the Atlantic Provinces with 60 per cent and by B.C. with 44 per cent.

Foreign ownership in Quebec—considered by the government to be non-Canadian as opposed to non-Quebecois—is somewhat below national levels in all sectors except services and utilities.

-Over 8,500 Canadian firms are foreign-controlled, at least 7,000 by Americans. This list has been growing in recent years by about 170 companies a year.

There are some important realizations about the shaky chances for Canadian survival contained in the Gray Report. It would appear that the Trudeau government is prepared to make at least token steps to arrest the trend that is marking our destruction. But neither the authors of the report, nor the government, nor the men who hold the real power—the corporate titans—are willing to make any fundamental changes to an economic and social system that operates only for the rich.

It looks like we'll have to be content as colonials for some time to come.



FIRMS 50 per cent OR MORE NON-RESIDENT OWNED, TOTAL ALL INDUSTRIES 1965-1968

YEAR	ASSETS \$million	EQUITY \$million	SALES \$million	PROFITS \$million	TAXABLE INCOME \$million
1965	35,560	24.5	18,780	34.9	30,078
1966	40,468	25.8	20,324	35.3	33,967
1967	44,825	26.0	22,328	35.7	36,730
1968	50,766	26.8	25,008	37.0	41,301

NON-FINANCIAL FIRMS 50 PERCENT OR MORE NON-RESIDENT OWNED, TOTAL ALL INDUSTRIES 1965-68

YEAR	ASSETS \$million	EQUITY \$million	SALES \$million	PROFITS \$million	TAXABLE INCOME \$million
1965	27,973	36.0	15,076	40.0	29,478
1966	31,764	37.4	16,414	40.7	33,307
1967	35,244	38.0	17,973	41.5	35,958
1968	39,442	39.4	19,839	43.0	40,380

PERCENTAGE OF NON-RESIDENT OWNERSHIP AS MEASURED BY

MANUFACTURING INDUSTRY	ASSETS	SALES	PROFITS	TAXABLE INCOME
Food and beverages	11.3	27.1	29.4	30.9
Tobacco	84.5	80.1	82.7	83.1
Rubber products	91.1	91.5	90.1	88.4
Leather products	22.0	21.4	25.2	27.3
Textiles and clothing	39.2	28.5	54.9	54.6
Wool	30.8	22.2	23.8	23.0
Furniture	18.8	15.5	20.4	23.2
Printing, publishing and allied	21.0	13.2	22.0	22.7
Paper and allied	38.9	40.7	39.8	39.0
Primary metals	55.2	51.1	62.4	64.4
Metal fabricating	46.7	45.0	64.7	62.6
Machinery	72.2	72.7	78.1	87.2
Transport equipment	87.0	90.6	89.8	88.7
Electrical products	64.0	62.7	78.0	88.1
Non-metallic mineral products	51.6	42.3	47.2	52.9
Petroleum and coal products	99.7	99.6	99.7	99.4
Chemicals and chemical products	81.3	81.1	88.9	89.1
Miscellaneous manufacturing	53.9	51.2	72.1	72.6
Total — All Manufacturing	58.1	55.0	63.4	62.4



**LUIGI'S BARBER SHOP**  
127 Durham St. South  
Professional Men's Hair Stylists  
**20% DISCOUNT WITH  
LAURENTIAN STUDENT'S CARD**



**ENGINEERING & SCIENCE  
STUDENTS**

Did you know . . .

**SHERIDAN COLLEGE**  
offers

**ADVANCE STANDING FOR GRADE 13 GRADUATES** in the following Technology programs:

- Chemical
- Computer Science
- Electronic/Electrical
- Mechanical/Production

Students with Grade 13 credits in physics chemistry and mathematics A & B can enter directly into the second semester of any of the above Engineering Technology programs.

Second semester begins on Jan. 17, 1972.

Think about it! Then apply to:

**THE REGISTRAR**  
Sheridan College  
98 Church St. E.  
Brampton, Ontario  
(area code 416) 364-7491

## THE FIFTH COLUMN

By Richard W. Woodley

What is the purpose of Laurentian University? Perhaps this question gets to the root of all our problems. Trying to be a university like all the others is obviously leading to problems. So then, we should be "unique".

The most common suggestion for achieving this uniqueness, is to exploit our regionality. It is said that we are a regional university and should concentrate on regional studies. In this way we can compete with southern universities by not competing in the same fields.

This is logical but does not provide a real alternative. The field of studies would be different, but that's about all. We would still be the same type of university.

That type of university is the graduate-research oriented university where everything is geared towards the graduate level. The undergraduate level is simply a preparatory level for the "real thing".

Today's students are frustrated. From grade school to high school they are continually being prepared and looking forward to the next step. They do not consider the stage they are at as being useful but just as preparation for something greater. When they reach university they think they have finally "arrived" only to be told "you really should plan on graduate studies".

Of course, what is a BA worth. Nothing? It is said that it is no longer a job ticket. This could be the best thing that ever happened to universities - if it is reacted to properly.

Universities in the past pretended to "educate" while attempting to provide job training at the same time. Of course they failed.

Now is the time for polarization. What we need is a complete split of the two functions with job training and education provided by separate institutions. One need not choose. In our society

today we do not need a large labour force. People can afford to spend more time in school - they can attend both types of institutions - and society can afford to support them while they are there.

What are the implications of this for Laurentian? Laurentian has the opportunity to be a leader, as an education oriented university.

I propose that Laurentian become a purely undergraduate university (a graduate university is only a job training school for professors). It is not too late for this as Laurentian has not yet become a completely graduate oriented university.

Graduate schools provide more individualized education. We should do this on the undergraduate level.

With this will come a certain freedom. Freedom from "standards". We should not gear our programs to "standards" of industry or graduate schools. Let other universities do that.

The main point here is that students are realizing that university does not guarantee employment. Increasingly those people who come to university will be coming strictly for an education. If we can do this better than anyone else we can attract the real "best" students.

The major criticism of the elimination of graduate studies is that it won't attract the best staff, as they wish to have research facilities. But we will attract staff that want, first of all, to work with people. And that is what education is all about. We will attract people from all over who never had this type of university to work in.

What this would do for Laurentian would be to open it up for all sorts of rewarding innovations in education, simply by the elimination of outside "standards". Evaluation, examination, and grading could be eliminated.

It would not be the same institution it is now and would not attract the same students. But why should it? CHANGE!

# Come practice on us.

At Laurentian Jan. 13

We mean it.  
Even if you have no idea of coming with us, sign up for an interview.

Come in and rehearse.

Do it on a company whose very life depends on its ability to come face to face with strangers.

You won't waste our time. We have a number of surprises about the insurance business in general and ours in particular. So if there's a latent response in you, we're confident we can trigger it. Besides, we're perfectly willing to take the risk.

If you can't make an interview, take a look at

"How to separate yourself from the herd." An eight page booklet on how to go to an interview on your terms. What to do about nervousness. About money. How to turn an interview around. When to get up and walk out. Things like that.

Our booklet is tucked into the new Employment Opportunities Handbook. The handbook is yours for the asking at the placement office.

"How to separate yourself from the herd" won't change the world for you.

But it just might help.

**LONDON LIFE**  
Insurance Company, London, Canada

## Ryerson Press sale remembered by group

Ryerson Press was sold to the US a year ago. A group of Canadian writers and publishers is commemorating the sale.

The group, which calls itself the Friends of Egerton Ryerson, has issued a poster based on a statue of Egerton Ryerson, the founder of Ryerson Press, showing him dressed in an Uncle Sam suit. He is singing: "I'm a Yankee Doodle Dandy."

They held a brief ceremony Wednesday, December 1, in front of the statue, which stands on the grounds of Ryerson Polytechnic in Toronto.

The group has also drafted a public statement which has been signed by twelve prominent Canadian writers and publishers which warns that federal government action is still needed to ensure a vigorous Canadian publishing industry.

The statement says: "As people involved one way or another in writing and publishing Canadian books, we want to make it clear on the first anniversary of the Ryerson sale that the battle to have a strong and vigorous independent Canadian publishing industry is still on."

"Even though it isn't yet won, it certainly hasn't been lost."

They also note that Ontario's Royal Commission on Book Publishing has succeeded in getting new policies implemented in Ontario to help book publishers in that province, but nothing has been done for the many Canadian-owned firms located outside that province.

Among those signing the statement are Edmonton Publisher Mel Hurtig, University of Toronto professor and writer James Eayrs, novelist Graeme Gibson, Governor-General's Award winner David Godfrey, and publishers James Lorimer, Peter Martin, Carol Martin, Mark Frank, Glen Siebrasse, Fred Cogswell, Dimitrios Roussopoulos and Shirley Gibson.



## in my opinion

By Bill Scandian

Some people seem to have little faith in our courts of law. It appears that the Sudbury Board of Education is of the same opinion. A recent decision by the board gave the option to the high school principals to suspend those who are alleged to be trafficking those dirty, wicked, evil nasty drugs. This was done in order to protect the pure at heart. And that's not all. These sinners if convicted will be suspended for the entire academic year. The innocent young babes can now be tucked in and rest assured that they will be free from the "contamination" of the ungodly.

This action saves time. Court action and legal proceedings, take months to prove what we all know already. The principal, as the child's parent at school (affectionately known by the students as "daddy") is now able to really flex his muscles, in fact, he could even consider changing his title to that of Czar.

If the principal feels that a particular student's behaviour is "detrimental to the moral tone of the school" and this unfortunate student should happen to be accused of trafficking or intent to traffic. Look out! The principal, with of course all the forces of righteousness behind him can really lower the boom. If the person is found innocent, by some fluke or twist of fate, the principal will be gracious and this person will then be allowed to circulate among the innocents once again. The hardships the pupil incurs as results of such

action are his tough luck. He can be comforted with the knowledge that no one really likes to brand people with false accusations and the members of the board and his principal are undoubtedly tearing their hair out over the fact that someone has suffered needlessly due to their actions. Then he only has to think of what could have happened had he been found guilty, to realize the merits of this policy and find out what a lucky fellow he is.

This policy raises two questions in my mind. The first one is: What right does a principal, or anyone for that matter, have to pass moral judgement on another person?

I can not say that I go along with the belief that a principal is a child's parent at school. To me, a principal is an administrator of a school. No more, no less. The concern he shows toward students in his school is done on his own accord and is not a part of his job. The punishment that he deals out should only be concerned with matters inside the school. In my mind he has no right whatsoever to penalize a student for his actions out of school, as would be in the case of those charged with trafficking or intent of trafficking. If the student is found to be offending the laws, inside of the school, by either possessing or trafficking, the principal has then cause for disciplining the student.

His opinion of the students' morals or lack of them and his opinion of the influence this would

have upon the others should not be used to alter in any way the severity of the student's penalty.

The only matter that should influence the severity of the penalty is cases where the student has been accused and convicted beforehand. But the decision to penalize should only be made where there is definite concrete evidence to support the charges made by the principal.

This factor should also have some influence in the decision of whether or not the police should be summoned.

Another question would be: Does this action of the board solve the problem? My answer is no. It is just like carpeting the dunes out at Coniston with astro turf. It only covers up the problem and is expensive. Unfortunately the expense is placed upon those who are proven innocent.

In my mind the board has merely skirted the problem by this action. They have passed the buck in a way in which they can still feed their egos with a sense of self-righteousness. It appears to me that this action shows that the board of education apparently does not give a shit about the problem and has merely rid itself of any responsibility.

The simple souls of Sudbury, better known as the solid citizens, who are seemingly in support of this policy, show in my opinion that they have more faith in a lynch mob sort of law, and this reflects to me that the people of Sudbury, justice and human rights, are not compatible.

## Western prof faces racism charges

LONDON (CUP) -- A stormy controversy involving charges of racism against a right-wing history professor has erupted at the usually placid University of Western Ontario.

Things came to a head Wednesday, November 17 when Professor Kenneth Hilborn invaded a student meeting discussing demands for his dismissal and was involved in a scuffle with one of his demonstrators.

The demands for the firing of the tenured professor arose from an article he had written, which appeared in the London Free Press. In this article Hilborn attacked those who support what he termed "terrorists" in South Africa. He said that the best way to end the apartheid system in that country was by a process of "erosion".

This could be best accomplished the article went on, by increasing the prosperity of the white ruling class in South Africa. This would create a demand for more skilled labour which would in turn lower unemployment among blacks while increasing their standard of living and ultimately their political powers. The article concludes that the white ruling class would be forced to liberate the blacks just to keep the economy running smoothly.

A group of students, including in its membership representatives from the Canadian Party of Labour, formed the Committee to Fight Racism and demanded the dismissal of Hilborn from his teaching post

because of his allegedly racist views.

Hilborn showed up at the Committee's Wednesday meeting, "to see what assinine they're raising and reply to their charges."

The professor, who visited South Africa last year, constantly interrupted speakers at the meeting and charged that his views as expressed in the article were being distorted.

Tempers flared as Dave Hanna, a member of the Committee, swung a revolving desk at Hilborn's shin as the professor was challenging one of his detractors.

Hilborn grabbed the desk and tried to swing it back but was dissuaded by Hanna's threat of an assault charge and the efforts of

bystanders to cool both of them down.

The professor, in defending his position, said that he opposed apartheid -- the South African government policy of complete racial separation -- but that the overthrow of the government would result in millions of deaths, mainly black.


Committee members argued that the article served to further the interests of a racist regime and that Hilborn should not be allowed to continue teaching such attitudes at the university.

The final word likely will belong to Hilborn, who said: "Trying to fire a professor is a laugh if he has tenure. A professor cannot be fired for expressing his opinions."

### CORTINA

Carry-Out

5 LOCATIONS  
TO SERVE YOU!



DOWNTOWN AREA  
DIAL 674-3232  
LOCKERBY &  
UNIVERSITY AREA  
DIAL 673-1166

BARRYDOWNE RD. - NEW SUDBURY  
DIAL 566-5410  
177 KATHLEEN STREET WEST  
DIAL 674-4239  
HIGHWAY 17 W. - LIVELY TURNOFF  
DIAL 692-4788



### MUIRHEAD STATIONERS LIMITED

9 ELM ST. E. 674-1916

Personalized Christmas Cards  
Gift Wrap - Bows - Ribbons  
Candles - Party Notions

Prom Music Centre

RECORDS - TAPES - INSTRUMENTS

RADIOS - PLAYERS - ACCESSORIES

MUSIC LESSONS

36 ELGIN ST.N. TEL. 673-7135

Embassy  
JEWELLERS

52 ELM ST. E. SUDBURY, ONT.

674-8822

### Discotheque

LIVE BAND  
TUESDAY TO  
SATURDAY

No cover charge  
Dancing every night.  
Plenty of free parking.

566-1341

-- at the --  
GOLDEN  
NUGGET  
TAVERN

MON. - SAT.  
"Exclusive but  
not expensive."

Levi's



### LEVI'S BELL BOTTOMS

Levi's Original Blue Jeans go bell bottom in this flared version of the popular classic. Levi's famous cut, detailing and XX denim.

### MOSES MEN'S WEAR

122 Durham St., S.

### History Society sponsors Sopha

The Laurentian University History Society is sponsoring a talk by former Liberal MPP for Sudbury, Elmer Sopha, on Ontario-Quebec relations.

The meeting will be held in the U of S lounge on Thursday, December 9 at 8:00 pm. History Society members and guests are invited to attend, as well as the History Professors who can take time out to attend.

There will be a nominal fee of \$1.00 which does guarantee 2 free drinks (wine) and pizza. For History Society members, an additional drink can be obtained.



# Nelawi proposes new workshop

## MORE PEOPLE choose the **PRESIDENT** MOTOR HOTEL

- AIR CONDITIONED
- FREE PARKING
- COFFEE SHOP DINING LOUNGE
- COLOR TELEVISION COCKTAIL LOUNGES
- RECEPTION & CONVENTION FACILITIES



**STROBES, ORGAN, LIGHTS, SOUNDS 'TIL 3:00 a.m.**  
Liquor sold until 1:00 a.m.

### ALL DAY AND NIGHT

BUILD YOUR OWN SUBMARINE  
up to twelve items — \$1.22

### HAPPY HOUR DAILY 5-6:30 p.m.

LIQUOR & DRAUGHT 1/2 PRICE

### AFTER 5:00 p.m. SUDBURY'S FINEST STEAKS


PORTERHOUSE 18 oz. \$5.22  
DELMONICO 12 oz. \$4.22  
NEW YORK 8 oz. \$3.22

management reserves the right to deny admission  
because of dress.

From JULIUS SCHMID

## effective

birth control products  
for men



Reliable contraception is a matter of vital concern to the majority of the world's population today. And the majority of the world's people practicing contraception rely on the condom, which is also known as a protective, prophylactic, or sheath.

The finest protectives made by Julius Schmid are well known to your doctor and druggist—Fourax, Ramses and Sheik. They are available at all drugstores.

For more information on birth control, send for "The How-Not-To Book".

**It's FREE.**



JULIUS SCHMID OF CANADA LIMITED  
32 Bermondsey Rd., Toronto 16, Ont.  
Please send me the HOW-NOT-TO Book.  
(Print clearly)

TO: Name \_\_\_\_\_

Address \_\_\_\_\_

City \_\_\_\_\_ Zone \_\_\_\_\_ Prov. \_\_\_\_\_

THE  
HOW-NOT-TO  
BOOK



Professor Joseph Nelawi has proposed the creation of a multidisciplinary workshop in Quantitative Methods and Organization in the Social Sciences at Laurentian.

Professor Nelawi notes that the Social Sciences offer a fertile field of research and applied work using Quantitative methods. At present there is no such workshop, and this is the reason for the proposal.

The major purpose of the workshop is to give the opportunity to faculty members and students from the Social Sciences, the School of Commerce, the School of Engineering and the Department of Mathematics a chance to discuss scientific topics and methods of mutual interest. They could then conduct research projects with a team spirit, also individual contributions of staff and students might be presented and discussed in the Workshop.

The proposal notes four fields of interest for Sudbury and Northern Ontario. The first is a research into the low quality of life and social changes in non-metropolitan communities. Secondly is a study of the growth of Sudbury over the last five years using the Optimal Control Theory. Pollution could be studied as well as the urban problems brought about by the rapid growth of the Sudbury area. These are only suggested areas of research.

The proposed workshop could have meetings of an hour every two weeks or every week, beginning the next academic year. That being, the year of 1972-1973.

Two or three meetings might be held this year by the interested faculty members and students in order to investigate the viability of such a workshop at Laurentian. They could possibly select an inter-departmental committee representing the different schools. At this time new suggestions might enrich the proposal and a programme for next year might be sketched.

A first general meeting will be arranged for January.

## Library facilities

In addition to the main Library, there are four other libraries on campus. They are at Huntington College, Thorneloe College, the University of Sudbury and the Science Building. All of these facilities are open to students on campus and not restricted to the members of that particular course or college.

The times of these libraries differ from college to college. The Huntington library is open from 10 a.m. to 10 p.m., Mondays to Thursdays, 10 a.m. to 6 p.m. on Fridays, and from 1 p.m. to 5 p.m. and 7 p.m. to 9 p.m. on Saturdays and Sundays. This library is said to have good Philosophy and religious studies sections.

The Thorneloe library is open from 2 p.m. to 5 p.m. and from 7:30 to 11:30, Mondays to Fridays. This library is not opened during the weekends, and has good sections in philosophy and religious studies.

The library in the University of Sudbury is open from 9 a.m. to 5 p.m. Mondays to Fridays. It also is not opened during the weekend and has its larger sections on philosophy and religious studies. It is opened for use to other students from the campus on the condition that they sign a contract.

The library in the Science Building is open from 8 a.m. to 10 p.m. during the weekdays and from 9 a.m. to 5 p.m. on Saturdays.

These provide a relatively complete source of reference for the students on campus.

## down er up

With Lyn Downer

In the Globe and Mail of Tuesday, December 1, 1971, excerpts were printed from the proceedings that took place in the House of Commons. They show, perhaps best of all, the ridiculous utterances of our representatives.

Robert Muir a Progressive Conservative member for Cape Breton asked why public funds were being spent to air a program on CBC dealing with a homosexual marriage between two males. He noted that it was a half hour program, at which time Don Jamieson interjected and asked if they needed more time. Mr. Muir responded to this by implying that Jamieson must know quite a bit about the subject.

This conversation was ridiculous enough, however, let us not forget that occasionally we have a Prime Minister that sits in the House. Mr. Trudeau noted that the whole thing could possibly be a fairy tale. Perhaps that statement should go down as one of his more important for the current year.

This dissertation is one prime (no pun intended) example of the (ex) laxness of our government's representatives.

Perhaps by shrugging off the question, Mr. Trudeau was sticking to one of his other famous statements: that being, that the government, has no business in the bedrooms of the nation.

This type of flustering is reminiscent of the great bear debate that took place in the House between John Diefenbaker (that great Canadian nationalist), Lester B. (which stands for Mike) Pearson, and Tommy Douglas (the Canadian champ at losing his own seat).

Mr. Diefenbaker proposed that the ferocious bears of our national parks be emblazoned with a giant red "X". The emblem was to be placed upon their posterior so that the populace could distinguish ferocious bears from friendly ones. Mr. Pearson noted (brilliantly) that bears are not stupid (unlike Indians) and that a red "X" painted upon their posterior would serve no purpose. He pointed out that the ferocious bears charge face first, thus the populace could not see the "X" to determine whether or not the bear was ferocious or just a friendly bear that had become incensed (shades of Sudbury).

Mr. Douglas noted that the use of a red "X" was a direct slur that related to his particular party. At this point, Mr. Diefenbaker noted that perhaps his proposal should be changed so that the red "X" be painted on the posteriors of members of that particular party, thus warning the populace of the ferocious social element invading our society.

Mr. Douglas, not to be outdone, noted that the Doukabors should also be denoted in some fashion. This ended the debate, because the Honorable members could not agree as to who would be given the privilege of painting the red "X" upon the posterior of the Doukabors.

One should not be too harsh in judging whether or not the debates are relevant. We must remember that some people consider these issues very important and vital to the morality of the nation. We never can tell just how many people will turn to homosexuality because of the airing of this program.

This also applies to the fact that for many years it was illegal to show a bottle of beer on the good old boob tube. After all, some thirteen year old most certainly will turn into an alcoholic at the sight of the beer commercial. In the same vein, he will most certainly die of cancer because we still allow those vicious people to advertise cigarettes. Oh, how terribly immoral we have become.

On issues of this sort, the government does have scientific data to support its claim that many of these items, such as cigarettes and cyclomates are indeed dangerous. The government proved conclusively that a rat died of cancer after being injected with cyclomates.

That is all well and good, however, the study did not report the size of the dose. In fact, for a human to have a chance to contract cancer from cyclomates, he would have to drink sixteen cases of pop a day, for six months. It would seem entirely possible that the person who could accomplish this feat would not fear cancer but rather drowning.

In any case, it has become obvious from the grand utterances, of which I have only mentioned a few, that our government is wasting its time. Perhaps we should test new products, check out the effectiveness of cancer causing items, and release ferocious bears upon the most Honourable members.



## Sport's Commentary

When Laurentian first accepted the invitation to enter the OUAA, their representative reported that any problems of eligibility would be solved mutually between the former OIAA league and the new OUAA. Unfortunately, this was not the case. Laurentian was granted permission to enter the newly formed OUAA, provided that they accept the rules of the Ontario-Quebec Athletic Association.

The OUAA is made up of the former OIAA and the OQAA leagues. Apparently the OQAA rules were to be used in this new league and this was made quite clear to the OIAA representatives. (Laurentian, York, Brock, Ryerson, Trent and Waterloo-Lutheran).

Laurentian's representative however, did not make this point very clear to the various coaches, and it was not until the LU reps arrived at Hamilton last week, that they found out the true nature of the eligibility question. This was the realization that no special consideration was to be given former OIAA members, (of which Laurentian was a member) in regards to eligibility.

The OUAA rules state quite clearly that any reinstated pro can not play in that league. As a result of this rule, protests were forwarded against York University and Laurentian, since they were both using reinstated pros - Steve Latinovich in York's case and Rick Morris in Laurentian's. A letter was sent to both respective coaches informing them of the situation and that neither player should be used in league action, until this eligibility problem was straightened out.

Interestingly enough, no complaints were sent to Toronto, where Bob Munroe was playing. He had played with the Montreal Voyageurs, a professional team. No one seemed to mind that Brent Imlach, who also played with the Blues last year, was a former pro, having played several games with the Toronto Maple Leafs. Somehow, the rules were bent and he was allowed to play in that league, although he was a former pro.

The meeting dealing with eligibility passed no changes during that particular season. Instead they granted special permission to several players allowing them to play in the league this season. Rick Morris was included in this group, as was Steve Latinovich. The case of Bob Munroe was referred to a committee for study. No application for his reinstatement was received.

Strangely enough, the former OIAA members do not have a vote in the OUAA. They were invited into this league for a trial period of one year and as such do not have the privilege of voting. In fact, if the former members of the OQAA wish to vote them out at the end of this year, they can do so.

In regards to this eligibility meet-

ing, Laurentian and York can thank Dr. MacIntosh, Dean of Physical Education at Queen's University, for bringing about this idea of special exceptions to the eligibility rules. He argued that the spirit of the rules should be looked at and that since the OIAA rules permitted reinstated pros, perhaps in this one instance, the players concerned should be given consideration. Otherwise both Morris and Latinovich would not be playing this year.

The meeting produced two proposals to be voted upon in April. The first would have a reinstated pro eligible, provided that he sit out a year and fulfill the academic requirements. Also, every year of pro experience would count as one year of eligibility in college hockey. That would mean that if Johnny Bower were to attend Laurentian, he could not play inter-collegiate hockey, as he has played more than five years in the pro ranks.

The second proposal stated that anyone recognized as a full time student at a university, could play on inter-collegiate squads, regardless of his academic showing.

Of course, the April meeting could reject both proposals and continue to use the present rule.

It is unfortunate that such eligibility rules exist in the OUAA. Both the east and the west provide for the recognition of reinstated pros, as does the CAHA and the CIAU. John Vanderburg of the Vees, thus loses one year of hockey in pursuit of his academic interest, where as he could have gone east or west and have played hockey. In his case, he meets the requirement since he has already sat out one year of pro hockey.

The other big question is why the University of Toronto Blues can be made exceptions to this rule. Both Munroe and Imlach were clearly former pros and there is no reason why Imlach should have been eligible last year with the Blues. Why should the exception have been made in his case? Why is Munroe presenting no problem to the U of T Blues in regards to eligibility? The games in which he had played should definitely be forfeited.

There is no doubt that Laurentian was slapped on the wrists, when they were forced to forfeit the four games. The message here was quite clear - you northern boys stay in your place and let us run the show, hear!

A clear illustration of this was demonstrated by the OUAA in dealing with the forfeiture of the Vees first game against Ottawa. At this time, Coach Porter was certain that Morris was eligible and was trying to get John Vanderburg into the league. In dealing with Laurentian, the league told Porter that since he realized the ruling of reinstated pros in Vanderburg's case, he should have realized it also in Morris' case. Thus Ottawa was given the win.

## Women's Sports

York and University of Toronto Volleyball and Basketball teams played against Laurentian's women's teams here a week ago Friday and Saturday.

The women's Volleyball team played badly against York on Friday, and lost by a score of 15-6, 15-8, 16-4. They also lost their second match against Toronto - the defending league champion, by scores of 16-14, 15-8, and 15-8. In the game against Toronto they showed much improvement from Friday night. Two players Jean Socek and Carmen Landry deserve

compliments on their excellent performance.

The basketball team put up a better showing. They also played two games - one against U of T and another against York and have extended their winning streak by winning both games.

They defeated York 47-17. Sinikka Heikkila scored 15 points. On Saturday U of T fell before them with a score of 38-27. The top scorers were Pat Smith with 19 points and Sinikka Heikkila (again) with 8 points.

## U of T Blues defeat Vees

# "THIS IS ROMAN'S HOCKEY STORY"

The U of T Blues defeated the Laurentian Vees 6-0 in Toronto last Friday night. Goalie Neil Cry-

derman was forced to make over 40 stops, while Blues goaltender Dave Tataryn had to make only 22.

The Vees lost the services of John Valquette, who broke his leg while involved in a power play.

There can be little doubt that the Vees are presently in a slump,

having lost two and tied one in their last three games. Injuries have been taking their toll this

year, with Matt Thorp missing all games to date as a result of a

knee ligament injury sustained in the first game of the year against

the Chelmsford Canadiens. Then it was Rick Morris and another injury which kept him out of at least one game and hampered his play in the other two.

The most serious injury to a Laurentian player was that incurred by John Valquette Friday night in Toronto. John sustained a broken leg and is expected to be out of action for the remainder of the season.

## Basketball Vees were doing OK

The Laurentian Voyageur basketball team is in first place in the eastern section of the OUAA. Vees have won all their four games, prior to weekend play. The team has netted a total of 362 points, while allowing 265 points against.

Leading the Vees and the league in the point department, is veteran John McKibbin, who to date has scored 114 points. 98 of these points have come on field goals, while 16 have been obtained by foul shots. McKibbin has had 29 chances to score from the foul line.

Ray Owens is second in the league scoring, having a total of 66 points;

48 on field goals and 18 on foul shots. Rookie Dan Cattapan is eighth at the present time, with a point total of 36, 30 coming on field goals and six from the foul line. Close behind him is Don Lavigne, with 35 points, 30 on field goals and five from the foul line.

McKibbin is also the leader in the rebound department having a total of 69 to his credit. Second in that department is Dan Cattapan, who has managed 40 to date. Fourth is Laurentian's Ray Owens, with 40 rebounds.

It appears that Laurentian's only tough competition in the eastern

section of the league will come from the York Yeomen. Teams like U of T Blues have had a rough time defeating the Ryerson Rams, as have had Queen's University and Carleton. You will remember that Ryerson in years past has had hoop teams as potent and threatening as the Los Angeles Kings of the NHL. As with the Kings, things haven't changed much with the Rams.

The Western section, on the other hand, is quite potent and should the Vees manage to come within 10-15 points of the league leaders there, then at least there's a chance of doing well in the play-offs.

## Toronto trip Cancelled

A lack of interest on the part of Laurentian students forced cancellation of a planned trip to Toronto. Purpose of the trip was to supply some fan support for the Vees hockey team which was playing against the powerful U of T Blues.

Roman Woloszczuk, one of the organizers, commented that only 13 students bought tickets for the two day trip which included hotel accommodation, a ticket to the game and transportation.

Although a lack of interest was noted in the package deal, over thirty people bought tickets to the

game and indicated that they would take their cars to Toronto. Perhaps students just could not afford to pay the \$24

The Vees basketball team lost both of its games during the weekend. York defeated them 97-87 Friday night by virtue of a 56 point half.

The following evening the Vees lost to lowly Queen's, a team that lost to U of T by 20 points and had a difficult time squeaking out a four point win against Ryerson. I guess the Vees didn't play too good. Heesh!

## Regimbal gets appointment

At the request of the Honorable John Munro, Minister of National Health and Welfare, the authorities of Laurentian University have agreed to grant a leave of absence to Mr. Maurice Régimbal, Associate Dean, Administration, Division of Physical Education.

From December 1, 1971, to July

1, 1972, Mr. Régimbal will be directly responsible to the Director of Physical Fitness and Amateur Sport in Ottawa. He will assist in the formulation of plans for the pursuit of excellence in sport in Canada. This will require him to travel across Canada and to meet with local, regional and provincial

departments as well as with school, college and university officials.

Régimbal was formerly the president of the Canadian Intercollegiate Athletic Union. He is presently chairman of the Development Committee for Hockey Canada, and chairman of the Board of Sports Central, Sudbury region.



# **IF YOU DIDN'T SHOP LA BOUTEDE LAST WEEK YOU PROBABLY PAID TOO MUCH**

La Boutede is located in the Parker Building and carries a whole range of items and services that you would normally have to obtain by driving all over the place. Open 7 days per week.

---

## **COMPARE**

15% OFF CITY PRICES FOR DRY CLEANING  
CIGARETTES 1¢ PER CARTON LESS THAN DOMINION  
DISCOUNT PHOTO DEVELOPING SERVICE  
MILK 39¢ PER QUART  
LOW FOOD PRICES  
FULL RANGE OF CRESTED CLOTHING AND CHINA  
LOWEST RECORD PRICES IN SUDBURY

---

## **ON SALE NOW!!**

CRESTED CHINA (Mugs etc.) 15% OFF  
SHIRTS 50% OFF  
PANTS Reg. '15. NOW '7.95  
COTTON PRINT PANTS NOW '5.00  
TIES Reg. '5. NOW '2.50  
HATS Reg. '2. NOW 98¢  
HOT PANTS NOW '3.98

---

## **NEW ITEMS NOW IN STOCK**

- WOMEN'S NYLONS
- FROZEN FOODS (T.V. Dinners etc.)
- FRUIT JUICES
- COLD MEATS, HOT DOGS
- EGGS AND CHEESES

---

## **JUST ARRIVED**

- LAURENTIAN TEE SHIRTS
- FOOTBALL JERSEYS
- NEW L.P. releases
- CHRISTMAS RECORDS
- CROCK BAGS - The ideal Christmas gift

---

## **RINGS: ORDER NOW !**

Three prices '40 (Grad), '20 and '12. Rings must be ordered before Christmas if you want a ring for Graduation or as a souvenir for your older days of L.U.

---

**"Try us out.. You'll be pleased"**  
**NEW HOURS ON SATURDAY AND SUNDAY**

Saturday — 10 a.m. - 5:15 p.m. only  
Sunday — 1 p.m. - 6:00 p.m. only

**FROM THE MANAGEMENT AND STAFF OF LA BOUTEDE**

**"Greetings for Christmas and Best Wishes for the New Year"**

**"Que La Joie de la Paix de Noël vous accompagnent durant toute l'année"**